Nuphar lutea ssp. advena - Nymphaea odorata Herbaceous Vegetation

COMMON NAME Broadleaf Pondlily - White Waterlily Herbaceous Vegetation

SYNONYM Water Lily Aquatic Wetland PHYSIOGNOMIC CLASS Herbaceous Vegetation (V)

PHYSIOGNOMIC SUBCLASS Hydromorphic rooted vegetation (V.C)

PHYSIOGNOMIC GROUP Temperate or subpolar hydromorphic rooted vegetation (V.C.2)

PHYSIOGNOMIC SUBGROUP
FORMATION
Natural/Semi-natural Temperate or subpolar hydromorphic-rooted vegetation (V.C.2.N.)
Permanently flooded temperate or subpolar hydromorphic rooted vegetation (V.C.2.N.a)

ALLIANCE NYMPHAEA ODORATA - NUPHAR SPP. PERMANENTLY FLOODED

TEMPERATE HERBACEOUS ALLIANCE

CLASSIFICATION CONFIDENCE LEVEL 3

USFWS WETLAND SYSTEM Permanently flooded temperate or subpolar hydromorphic rooted vegetation

CONCEPT SUMMARY

Globally

This rooted aquatic or open marsh community occupies shallow water depressions, oxbow ponds, backwater sloughs of river floodplains, slow moving streams, ponds, and small lakes throughout the central and eastern United States. It is dominated by rooted, floating-leaved aquatic species, with both submergent and emergent aquatics also present. *Nuphar lutea ssp. advena* and *Nymphaea odorata* are dominants. Other species present may include *Brasenia schreberi*, various *Potamogeton* spp., *Polygonum amphibium*, and *Polygonum amphibium var. emersum* (= *Polygonum coccineum*). Submerged aquatics more common in the southern part of the range include *Cabomba caroliniana*, *Ceratophyllum demersum*, and *Heteranthera dubia*.

RANGE

Effigy Mounds National Monument

Globally

This rooted aquatic community occupies shallow, quiet waters throughout the central and eastern United States, extending from Maine to Ontario and Minnesota, south to Oklahoma and east to Georgia.

ENVIRONMENTAL DESCRIPTION

Effigy Mounds National Monument

Globally

This community occupies shallow water depressions, oxbow ponds, and backwater sloughs of river floodplains, ponds, and small lakes.

MOST ABUNDANT SPECIES

Effigy Mounds National Monument

<u>Stratum</u> <u>Species</u>

Globally

Stratum Species

CHARACTERISTIC SPECIES

Effigy Mounds National Monument

Globally

VEGETATION DESCRIPTION

Effigy Mounds National Monument

Globally

This community is dominated by rooted, floating-leaved aquatic species, with both submergent and emergent aquatics also present. *Nuphar lutea ssp. advena* and *Nymphaea odorata* are dominants. Other species present include *Brasenia schreberi*, various *Potamogeton* spp., *Polygonum amphibium*, and *Polygonum amphibium var. emersum* (= *Polygonum coccineum*) (Anderson 1982). Submerged aquatic species more common in the southern part of the range include *Cabomba caroliniana*, *Ceratophyllum demersum*, and *Heteranthera dubia*. This broadly conceived type may include ponds, or zones of ponds, dominated by *Nymphaea odorata*, with or without *Nuphar lutea ssp. advena*.

OTHER NOTEWORTHY SPECIES

CONSERVATION RANK G4G5. The dominant species in stands of this vegetation are widespread across the eastern and central United States and adjacent Canada. This is not a rare or imperiled vegetation type, even though its occurrence is poorly documented. Stands may occur in natural lakes and ponds or in artificial impoundments.

DATABASE CODE CEGL002386

COMMENTS

Effigy Mounds National Monument

Globally

REFERENCES

- Ambrose, J. 1990a. Georgia's natural communities--A preliminary list. Unpublished document. Georgia Natural Heritage Inventory. 5 pp.
- Anderson, D. M. 1982. Plant communities of Ohio: A preliminary classification and description. Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Columbus, OH. 182 pp.
- Breden, T. F., Y. R. Alger, K. S. Walz, and A. G. Windisch. 2001. Classification of vegetation communities of New Jersey: Second iteration. Association for Biodiversity Information and New Jersey Natural Heritage Program, Office of Natural Lands Managaement, Division of Parks and Forestry, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. Trenton, NJ.
- Fike, J. 1999. Terrestrial and palustrine plant communities of Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory. Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Recreation. Bureau of Forestry. Harrisburg, PA. 86 pp.
- Fleming, G. P., P. P. Coulling, D. P. Walton, K. M. McCoy, and M. R. Parrish. 2001. The natural communities of Virginia: Classification of ecological community groups. First approximation. Natural Heritage Technical Report 01-1. Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, Division of Natural Heritage, Richmond, VA. Unpublished report. January 2001. 76 pp.
- FNAI [Florida Natural Areas Inventory]. 1990. Guide to the natural communities of Florida. Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources, Tallahassee. 111 pp.
- Foti, T., M. Blaney, X. Li, and K. G. Smith. 1994. A classification system for the natural vegetation of Arkansas. Proceedings of the Arkansas Academy of Science 48:50–53.
- Gawler, S. C. 2002. Natural landscapes of Maine: A guide to vegetated natural communities and ecosystems. Maine Natural Areas Program, Department of Conservation, Augusta, ME. [in press]
- Hoagland, B. 2000. The vegetation of Oklahoma: A classification for landscape mapping and conservation planning. The Southwestern Naturalist 45(4):385–420.
- NAP [Northern Appalachian-Boreal Forest Working Group]. 1998. Northern Appalachian-Boreal Working group discussions. The Nature Conservancy, Boston, MA.
- NatureServe Ecology Southeastern United States. No date. Unpublished data. NatureServe, Durham, NC.
- Peet, R. K., T. R. Wentworth, M. P. Schafale, and A.S. Weakley. 2002. Unpublished data of the North Carolina Vegetation Survey. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.
- Penfound, W. T. 1953. Plant communities of Oklahoma lakes. Ecology 34:561–583.
- Rawinski, T. 1984. Natural community description abstract southern New England calcareous seepage swamp. Unpublished report. The Nature Conservancy, Boston, MA. 6 pp.
- Schafale, M. P., and A. S. Weakley. 1990. Classification of the natural communities of North Carolina. Third approximation. North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources, Division of Parks and Recreation, Natural Heritage Program, Raleigh. 325 pp.
- Swain, P. C., and J. B. Kearsley. 2001. Classification of natural communities of Massachusetts. September 2001 draft. Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife. Westborough, MA.
- Zanoni, T. A., P. G. Risser, and I. H. Butler. 1979. Natural areas for Oklahoma. Oklahoma Natural Heritage Program, Norman. 72 pp.